

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,087	09/26/2003	Norman W. Gavin	34814-10107 DIV	7060
2574	7590 06/15/2004		EXAMINER	
JENNER &	BLOCK, LLC		GLESSNER	., BRIAN E
ONE IBM PI			ADTIBUT	DARED MILLORD
CHICAGO,	IL 60611		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/672,087	GAVIN, NORMAN W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian E. Glessner	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Section 2a)</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.</li> </ul>	action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 5-11 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/672,087

Art Unit: 3635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller (3,884,008).

In regard to claim 5, Miller discloses a bracket comprising a flat top portion 22, said flat top portion including at least one aperture 30 formed therein, said flat top portion defining tapered sides (figure 4) about said aperture to define at least one gripping web, at least one sidewall 24 extending from said planar surface, a flange 14 parallel to said flat top portion extending from said at least one sidewall, said flange adapted to be connected to a form wall.

In regard to claim 7, Miller discloses the claimed invention, wherein said bracket includes two sidewalls 24 diverging from said flat top wall, each said sidewall having a flange 14 projecting therefrom and adapted to be secured to a form wall.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,087

Art Unit: 3635

4. Claims 6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller (3,884,008).

In regard to claim 6, Miller discloses the claimed invention except for specifically disclosing that said flat top portion includes two apertures having tapered sides that form gripping webs. Miller only teaches the use of one aperture with tapered sides and a gripping web. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a second aperture having tapered sides and a gripping web into Miller's invention, because by having a second aperture, one could place two fasteners into the device. Therefore, one would not have to use two inserts for two fasteners. This would save time and money. Finally, it has been held that the mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device is within the level of one having ordinary skill in the art.

In regard to claim 8, Miller discloses the basic claimed invention, wherein said bracket includes two sidewalls 24 diverging from said flat top wall, each said sidewall having a flange 14 projecting therefrom and adapted to be secured to a form wall.

In regard to claims 9 and 10, Miller discloses the claimed invention except for specifically disclosing that said gripping web comprises plural gripping webs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use plural gripping webs in place of one gripping web, since the examiner takes the position that one or two gripping webs are equivalent for their use in the gripping web art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to hold the post or fastener in place would be within the level of one having ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,087 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

In regard to claim 11, Miller discloses the basic claimed invention except for disclosing the use of a sighting aperture located between the two apertures having gripping webs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate as many additional apertures into the device as needed, since the mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device is within the level of one having ordinary skill in the art.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-4 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Roth, Taft, Wiegand, Sr., Farrell, Hinkle et al., McIntosh et al., Tracy, Borresen et al., Marsden, Campbell et al., Stetson, Gilsdorf, Ryan et al., Barton et al., Bowman, Haga and Hirata.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Glessner whose telephone number is 703-305-0031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,087

Art Unit: 3635

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B.G. June 8, 2004

BRIAN E. GLESSNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Bur Dlem